where blood transfusions could make a critical difference in their health. In that sense, physicians are in the best position to assist them. We would appreciate it if you would contact the headquarters of our organization, the WTS, and urge them to consider the reform of this policy by pointing out the many contradictions. The address of the WTS is as follows:

The Governing Body of Jehovah’s Witnesses
Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society
25 Columbia Heights
Brooklyn, NY 11201

Thank you very much for your attention and support.
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Associated Jehovah’s Witnesses for Reform on Blood
P.O Box 190089 - Boise, ID 83715-0089, U.S.A.
Web site: http://www.ajwrb.org
E-mail: info@ajwrb.org

We are fully aware of the potential risks involved in blood transfusions, and we request that bloodless treatment and alternatives to blood transfusions be used whenever such treatments are available without undue risk. However, when there are no such alternatives, such as when we have massive and uncontrollable hemorrhage, and bleeding to death appears inevitable, we believe you should be permitted to make every effort to stabilize our condition, including the use of blood transfusions.

When you encounter a Jehovah’s Witness patient the next time, please remember he or she might agree to accept blood transfusions despite his or her status as an active Jehovah’s Witness. If you have established a belief that every Jehovah’s Witness patient will universally refuse blood transfusions, it is time to reconsider this notion. Please investigate the individual JW patient’s understanding of the WTS blood policy, including components they may not be aware that the WTS now permits, as well as the risk versus benefit analysis of blood transfusions, and the degree of commitment. We request that the refusal of blood transfusion be determined in each case only after such a thorough and private conference with the Jehovah’s Witness patient.

Please consider using this approach...

Although most Jehovah’s Witnesses are still unaware of our group, many Jehovah’s Witnesses are joining us when they are informed of the inherent problems of the current blood ban. Still, many Jehovah’s Witnesses may say they adamantly refuse blood transfusions, but a primary reason for this refusal is because it is the policy of the WTS, not because it is his or her personal decision based on a full understanding of the doctrine and the risks and benefits of the particular treatment. Other Jehovah’s Witnesses may refuse blood transfusions because of the peer pressure from fellow Jehovah’s Witnesses, even if they may personally disagree with this policy.

The next time you see a Jehovah’s Witness patient who refuses blood, please share with them the brochure, “Do Jehovah’s Witnesses Really Abstain from Blood?” and discuss the activity of our group. Please discuss the many contradictions and inconsistencies of the doctrine. Such private discussions have brought some Jehovah’s Witness patients to the realization that the policy is wrong, but at the very least it will provide them with the information necessary to make a truly autonomous decision.

We believe the following questions will be helpful in getting the Witness patient to stop and think about their position:

- “Could you, as a Jehovah’s Witness, please explain to me which blood therapies you can accept, which you cannot, and why the difference?”
- “I am especially interested in knowing where the Bible explains which parts of the blood you may or may not accept.” [Omit this sentence if you are uncomfortable with it but understand that it will cause the patient to seriously reflect on why they are supporting these mandates from the WTS]
- “Please do not give me a publication that I’m too busy to read, or ask me to speak with an elder from your congregation. It’s important that I understand what you are thinking and why you are prepared to die over this issue.”

Please note that many Jehovah’s Witnesses are not aware that the WTS has ruled that members may elect to accept blood components deemed minor by the WTS including albumin, all clotting factors, all immunoglobulins and fibrinogen. They may not, however, accept plasma, platelets, red or white cells. The WTS offers no explanation as to why it is permis-
sible to accept all of the components of plasma but not plasma itself. Nor does it explain why albumin is acceptable when smaller components like platelets and white cells are not.

It is also important for the physician to understand that the average Witness patient has been taught many misconceptions regarding the use of blood. We encourage you to emphasize the following points:

1. Blood therapies are not necessarily bad medicine. The risk/benefit ratio is usually very low, especially in trauma situations.

2. If the chance of contracting AIDS is much lower than Jehovah's Witnesses are lead to believe. About 1 in 676,000 in the U.S. much less than the chance of a fatal accident in the ambulance enroute to a hospital.

3. In some situations there are no alternatives to medically necessary blood transfusions on Jehovah's principles.

4. The Watchtower Society has a long history of doctrinal reversals about what God requires of its members regarding medical care. The WTS previously prohibited vaccinations from 1929-1952. Organ transplants were banned from 1967-1980 under threat of judicial sanction and excommunication by the organization, as well as being inactive or leaving the religion each year. Each of those members have various degrees of commitment and understanding of the blood policy. It seems prudent to consider how a family upon leaving the religion will view a medical professional who failed to make a reasonable effort to try and establish the necessary elements of informed consent.

Physicians and hospitals concerned about the potential medico-legal consequences of deaths due to misunderstandings about transfusions can limit their liability by making a diligent effort to establish the existence of a more comprehensive informed refusal of blood than has been previously obtained. In this regard, serious consideration should be given to use of the form: "Informed Consent and Statement of Understanding regarding Blood Transfusion Therapy." This form is available from the Associated Jehovah's Witnesses for Reform on Blood, as is a brochure designed for use with Witness patients.

It is recommended that Witness patients be assured that if they decide to proceed with the use of a blood therapy that is currently prohibited by the WTS, strict confidentiality will be maintained. That access to the patient will be limited so that other Witness and WTS representatives (H.L.C. or Hospital Liaison Committee members) will not be able to learn that they have disobeyed WTS instructions. This is essential since the WTS policy is to call any member who violates WTS mandates before a judicial committee to face possible sanctions and disfellowshiping which results in a complete cutting off of the member from the WTS and isolation from Witness friends and family members.

**Frequently Asked Questions**

**Q: Who are you?**

A: We are the Associated Jehovah's Witnesses for Reform on Blood (AJWRB), a group of Jehovah's Witnesses who petition for a reform in the WTS's current policy banning certain types of blood transfusions. Because of the necessity of strict confidentiality (see below), our group is organized mainly on the Internet. Currently we are in over 23 countries, including Australia, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Columbia, Costa Rica, El Salvador, England, Finland, Germany, Guatemala, Haiti, Italy, Mexico, Netherlands, Paraguay, Peru, Sweden, Portugal, Russia, Spain and the U.S.A. Our members include congregation elders, former oversees and members of the Hospital Liaison Committee, (a specialized group of elders who mediate between physicians and Jehovah's Witness patients).

**Q: Why do you propose a reform of the blood policy?**

A: We believe informed consent or refusal of any medical treatment should be based on personal decision after consideration of risks, benefits and, in our case, religious consideration. The Bible mentions that we should not eat blood under certain conditions, but nowhere in the Bible are blood transfusions prohibited for the purpose of saving human life and treating disease. Moreover, the policy has numerous contradictory rules which have no biblical basis. For example, we believe it is absurd to refuse plasma while accepting every component of plasma as long as they are taken separately.

The WTS has recently shown a public posture that each Jehovah's Witness patient has a freedom to choose their medical treatment without sanctions from the organization. The reality is that the WTS continues to threaten the penalty of expulsion and ostracism of any Jehovah's Witnesses who conscientiously make a decision to receive blood transfusions, and thereafter do not confess repentance of their actions. We believe deeply that such religious sanctions are wholly unjustified and we propose to coerce every Jehovah's Witness to refuse blood, even at the cost of their lives. We believe that the WTS should teach all Jehovah's Witnesses to decide what medical treatment they may accept or refuse based on their Bible-trained conscience, and that none of us should be punished because of such a personal decision.

**Q: Why are you anonymous?**

A: It is the policy of the WTS that any member who questions the organization has betrayed God and should be expelled from our religion and ostracized by our community. Since our purpose is to influence reform of this ill-fated doctrine as members from within the organization, we are forced to remain anonymous.

**Q: How can I support your reform movement?**

A: We believe that many Jehovah's Witnesses can be helped to critically examine this policy in life-and-death situations.